

# REGULATORY DETERMINATIONS SUPPORT DOCUMENT FOR SELECTED CONTAMINANTS FROM THE SECOND DRINKING WATER CONTAMINANT CANDIDATE LIST (CCL 2)

### **Disclaimer**

This document is designed to provide technical background information for the regulatory determinations being considered by the Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water.

This document is not a regulation itself, and it does not substitute for the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) or the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) regulations. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

#### Acknowledgments

The compilation and analysis of information and data presented in this report were undertaken by EPA's Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (OGWDW) in support of their determinations regarding whether regulating specific CCL 2 drinking water contaminants will present a meaningful opportunity to reduce health risk. This effort was directed by Mr. Clifton Townsend and Ms. Wynne Miller of OGWDW's Standards and Risk Management Division (SRMD) Targeting and Analysis Branch (TAB). Wynne Miller served as the Team Lead for the CCL 2 Preliminary Regulatory Determinations under the guidance of Ann Codrington (TAB Associate Branch Chief until December 2005), Eric Burneson (TAB Branch Chief), Phil Oshida (SRMD Deputy Division Director), Pamela Barr (SRMD Division Director) and Cynthia Dougherty (OGWDW Office Director).

We would like to thank Michael Focazio and his colleagues at the USGS Water Resources Discipline, and staff at other Federal and State agencies, water systems, and organizations who provided or clarified information contained in this document. EPA staff from several offices within the Agency contributed by writing, reviewing, and/or providing technical and/or editorial guidance to some portion of this document. Those of particular note from the Office of Water include Charles Abernathy (now retired), Ambika Bathija, Denis Borum, Octavia Connerly, Elizabeth Doyle, Brenda Foos (while on detail), Irene Dooley, Joyce Donohue, Dave Huber, Steve Kueberuwa, Derek Losh, Amal Mahfouz, Wynne Miller, Dave Munch, Phil Oshida, Jitendra Saxena, James Sinclair, and Clifton Townsend. In addition, external expert reviewers and many stakeholders have provided valuable advice to improve the CCL and Regulatory Determinations process over the years.

The Cadmus Group, Inc., served as the primary contractor providing support for this project, supporting the data management, analysis, and report development under Contract # 68-C-02-026. The major contributions of Brent Ranalli, Alison Cullity, Susan Bjork, and Morgan Tingley are gratefully acknowledged. Dr. Jonathan Koplos served as Cadmus' Project Manager.

#### **Executive Summary**

This document provides background information to support EPA's preliminary regulatory determinations for drinking water contaminants on the second Contaminant Candidate List (CCL 2). The preliminary regulatory determinations are presented formally in the *Federal Register*. This report itself does not constitute regulation.

This regulatory support document is divided into three Parts and fifteen Chapters. Because EPA understands that members of the public with varied concerns might be more interested in certain contaminants and less interested in others, the document is designed in such a way that individual chapters are more or less self-contained and can be distributed separately.

Part I, which includes the first two Chapters, provides preliminary information. Chapter 1 is an introduction to the CCL and regulatory determination process. Chapter 2 provides general information on the most important sources of data used to evaluate contaminants.

Chapters 3 through 11, in Part II, discuss eleven of the 51 CCL 2 contaminants for which EPA is making a preliminary regulatory determination. These contaminants are: boron, dimethyl tetrachloroterephthalate (DCPA) mono- and di-acid degradates, DDE, 1,3-dichloropropene, 2,4- and 2,6-dinitrotoluene, EPTC, fonofos, terbacil, and 1,1,2,2,-tetrachloroethane. Each chapter includes information on contaminant properties and sources, environmental fate and behavior, health effects, use and environmental release, known occurrence in ambient water and drinking water, and available analytical methods and treatment technologies. For each of these contaminants, EPA has made a preliminary determination that in light of available data, a national primary drinking water regulation (NPDWR) is not warranted. Those decisions are presented formally in the *Federal Register*. In some cases, EPA intends to update existing Health Advisories and/or provide guidance to states that face local contamination problems.

EPA has not made preliminary regulatory determinations for the remaining CCL 2 contaminants. Because EPA understands that members of the public may have a particular interest in certain high-profile CCL 2 contaminants, Chapters 12 through 15, in Part III, discuss the status of EPA's evaluation of perchlorate, metolachlor, MTBE, and several microbiological contaminants. EPA is not precluded from making regulatory determinations on any of these contaminants before the next round of formal CCL regulatory determinations.

# Contents

	111
	V
	vii
formation	
	1-1
Evaluation of Health and Occurrence Data	
minants Undergoing Regulatory Determination	
• • •	3-1
<u> </u>	
± ±	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	
the Remaining CCL 2 Contaminants?	
	12-1
Microorganisms on the CCL 2	
	formation Introduction Evaluation of Health and Occurrence Data minants Undergoing Regulatory Determination Boron

Regulatory Determinations Support Document for Selected Contaminants from the Second Drinking Water Contaminant Candidate List (CCL 2)

Part I: Preliminary Information

# Chapter 1: Introduction

## A chapter from:

Regulatory Determinations Support Document for Selected Contaminants from the Second Drinking Water Contaminant Candidate List (CCL 2)

**EPA Report 815-D-06-007** 

## **Contents**

Conte	ents		1-3
Exhib	its		1-5
Abbre	eviations.		1-7
1	Introdu	ction	1-9
1.1	Purpose	and Scope	1-9
1.2	Backgro	ound on the CCL and Regulatory Determinations	1-9
	1.2.1	Statutory Requirements for CCL and Regulatory Determinations	1-9
	1.2.2	The First Contaminant Candidate List (CCL 1)	1-10
	1.2.3	The Regulatory Determinations for CCL 1	1-10
	1.2.4	The Second Contaminant Candidate List (CCL 2)	1-11
	1.2.5	The Regulatory Determinations for CCL 2	1-11
1.3	Summa	ry of the Approach Used to Identify and Evaluate Candidates for Regulatory	
	Determ	ination 2	1-11
1.4	Summa	ry of Preliminary Regulatory Determinations	1-14

HX	hı	hı	ts

Exhibit 1-1:	General Overview of the Approach Used to Evaluate CCL 2 Contaminants for	
	Regulatory Determinations1-1	12

#### **Abbreviations**

ATSDR Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

CCL Contaminant Candidate List

CCL 1 First Contaminant Candidate List
CCL 2 Second Contaminant Candidate List

DDE 1,1-Dichloro-2,2-bis(*p*-chlorophenyl) ethylene

EPTC s-Ethyl dipropylthiocarbamate

HRL Health Reference Level

IRIS Integrated Risk Information System

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level

MCLG Maximum Contaminant Level Goal

MTBE Methyl tertiary-butyl ether
NAS National Academy of Sciences

NDWAC National Drinking Water Advisory Council NPDWR National Primary Drinking Water Regulation

NRC National Research Council
OPP Office of Pesticide Programs

PWS Public Water System

RED Reregistration Eligibility Decisions

SAB Science Advisory Board SDWA Safe Drinking Water Act

#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Purpose and Scope

The 1996 Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments (section 1412(b)(1)) direct EPA to publish a list of currently unregulated contaminants that may pose risks for drinking water (referred to as the Contaminant Candidate List, or CCL) and to make determinations on whether to regulate at least five contaminants from the CCL with a national primary drinking water regulation (NPDWR). This regulatory determination support document provides:

- (1) a summary of the statutory requirements and previous activities related to the contaminant candidate list and regulatory determinations,
- (2) the approach used to identify and evaluate contaminants for the Agency's second round of regulatory determinations,
- (3) information and data on the physical and chemical properties, use and environmental release, environmental fate, potential health effects, and occurrence and exposure estimates for each of the 11 contaminants that the Agency evaluated,
- (4) the preliminary determination for each of the 11 contaminant candidates, and
- (5) the Agency's rationale for its regulatory determination for these 11 contaminants

The 11 regulatory determination candidates discussed in this document include boron, the dacthal mono- and di-acid degradates, 1,1-dichloro-2,2-bis(p-chlorophenyl)ethylene (DDE), 1,3-dichloropropene, 2,4-dinitrotoluene, 2,6-dinitrotoluene, s-ethyl dipropylthiocarbamate (EPTC), fonofos, terbacil, and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane.

Additionally, this support document includes information and data on several contaminants for which no regulatory determination has been made at this time. These include perchlorate, metolachlor, methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE), and nine microbial contaminants.

#### 1.2 Background on the CCL and Regulatory Determinations

#### 1.2.1 Statutory Requirements for CCL and Regulatory Determinations

The specific statutory requirements for the CCL and regulatory determinations can be found in SDWA Section 1412(b)(1). The 1996 SDWA Amendments require EPA to publish the CCL every five years. The CCL is a list of contaminants that are not subject to any proposed or promulgated NPDWRs, are known or anticipated to occur in public water systems (PWSs), and may require regulation under SDWA. The 1996 SDWA Amendments also direct EPA to determine whether to regulate at least five contaminants from the CCL every five years (within three and one-half years after publication of the final list). In making regulatory determinations,

SDWA requires EPA to publish a Maximum Contaminant Level Goal<sup>1</sup> (MCLG) and promulgate an NPDWR<sup>2</sup> for a contaminant if the Administrator determines that:

- (a) the contaminant may have an adverse effect on the health of persons;
- (b) the contaminant is known to occur or there is substantial likelihood that the contaminant will occur in public water systems with a frequency and at levels of public health concern; and
- (c) in the sole judgment of the Administrator, regulation of such contaminant presents a meaningful opportunity for health risk reduction for persons served by public water systems.

If EPA determines that all three of these statutory criteria are met and makes a final determination that an NPDWR is needed, the Agency has 24 months to publish a proposed MCLG and NPDWR. After the proposal, the Agency has 18 months to publish and promulgate a final MCLG and NPDWR (SDWA section 1412(b)(1)(E)).<sup>3</sup>

#### 1.2.2 The First Contaminant Candidate List (CCL 1)

Following the 1996 SDWA Amendments, EPA sought input from the National Drinking Water Advisory Council (NDWAC) on the process that should be used to identify contaminants for inclusion on the CCL. For chemical contaminants, the Agency developed screening and evaluation criteria based on recommendations from NDWAC. For microbiological contaminants, NDWAC recommended that the Agency seek external expertise to identify and select potential waterborne pathogens. As a result, the Agency convened a workshop of microbiologists and public health experts who developed criteria for screening and evaluation and subsequently developed an initial list of potential microbiological contaminants.

The first CCL process benefited from considerable input from the NDWAC, the scientific community, and the public through stakeholder meetings and the public comments received on the draft CCL published on October 6, 1997 (62 FR 52193). EPA published the final CCL, which contained 50 chemical and 10 microbiological contaminants, on March 2, 1998 (63 FR 10273). A more detailed discussion of how EPA developed CCL 1 can be found in the 1997 and the 1998 *Federal Register* notices (62 FR 52193 and 63 FR 10273).

#### 1.2.3 The Regulatory Determinations for CCL 1

EPA published its preliminary regulatory determinations for a subset of contaminants listed on CCL 1 on June 3, 2002 (67 FR 38222). The Agency published its final regulatory

<sup>1</sup> The MCLG is the "maximum level of a contaminant in drinking water at which no known or anticipated adverse effect on the health of persons would occur, and which allows an adequate margin of safety. Maximum contaminant level goals are nonenforceable health goals." (CFR 141.2)

1-10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An NPDWR is a legally enforceable standard that applies to public water systems. An NPDWR sets a legal limit (called a maximum contaminant level or MCL) or specifies a certain treatment technique (TT) for public water systems for a specific contaminant or group of contaminants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The statute authorizes a nine month extension of this promulgation date.

determinations on July 18, 2003 (68 FR 42898). EPA identified 9 contaminants from the 60 contaminants listed on CCL 1 that had sufficient data and information available to make regulatory determinations. The nine contaminants were *Acanthamoeba*, aldrin, dieldrin, hexachlorobutadiene, manganese, metribuzin, naphthalene, sodium, and sulfate. The Agency determined that an NPDWR was not necessary for any of these nine contaminants. The Agency issued guidance on *Acanthamoeba* and health advisories for magnesium, sodium, and sulfate.

The decision-making process that EPA used to make its regulatory determinations for CCL 1 was based on substantial expert input and recommendations from different groups including stakeholders, the National Research Council (NRC), and NDWAC. In June 2002, EPA consulted with the Science Advisory Board (SAB) Drinking Water Committee and requested its review and comment on whether the protocol EPA developed, based on the NDWAC recommendations, was consistently applied and appropriately documented. SAB provided verbal feedback regarding the use of the NRC and NDWAC recommendations in EPA's decision criteria for making its regulatory determinations. SAB recommended that the Agency provide a transparent and clear explanation of the process for making regulatory determinations. The Agency took SAB's recommendation into consideration and further explained the CCL 1 regulatory determination evaluation process in the July 18, 2003 (68 FR 42898) notice and in the supporting documentation.

EPA has used the same approach for the present round of regulatory determinations. While this document includes a short description of the decision process used to make regulatory determinations (see section 1.3, below), a more detailed discussion can be found in the 2002 and the 2003 *Federal Register* notices (67 FR 38222 and 68 FR 42898).

#### 1.2.4 The Second Contaminant Candidate List (CCL 2)

The Agency published its draft CCL 2 *Federal Register* notice on April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17406) and the final CCL 2 *Federal Register* notice on February 24, 2005 (70 FR 9071). The CCL 2 carried forward the 51 remaining chemical and microbial contaminants that were listed on CCL 1.

#### 1.2.5 The Regulatory Determinations for CCL 2

As discussed below, EPA has made preliminary determinations for 11 of the 51 contaminants listed on the CCL 2.

# 1.3 Summary of the Approach Used to Identify and Evaluate Candidates for Regulatory Determination 2

Exhibit 1-1 provides a brief overview of the process EPA used to identify which CCL 2 contaminants are candidates for regulatory determinations and the SDWA statutory criteria considered in making the regulatory determinations.

Availability of Sufficient Information **Regulatory Determination** Contaminant from CCL2 Potential Candidates Yes to for Regulatory both Determination (at least five) 1 - Is an Agency-approved assessment available to determine whether potential adverse health effect(s) exist and a potential health reference level (HRL)? Three criteria (SDWA 1412(b)(2)(B)(ii): 2 - Are data available to evaluate and • Potential adverse human health effect? give a generally representative idea of • Known/likely to occur at a level and known or likely occurrence in public frequency of concern in PWSs? water systems (PWSs) in the US? • Regulation presents a meaningful opportunity for health risk reduction? No to either Yes to No to any all three Not appropriate to consider for Regulatory Determination Consider for Not appropriate at this time. Identify data gaps, regulation for regulation further data collection and/or research needs. Publish FR notice with preliminary determinations and rationale for the decisions.

Exhibit 1-1: General Overview of the Approach Used to Evaluate CCL 2
Contaminants for Regulatory Determinations

In identifying which CCL 2 contaminants are candidates for regulatory determinations, the Agency considered whether sufficient information and/or data were available to characterize the potential health effects and the known/likely occurrence in and exposure from drinking water. With regards to sufficient health effects information/data, the Agency considered whether an Agency-approved health risk assessment was available to identify any potential adverse health effect(s) and derive an estimated level at which adverse health effect(s) are likely to occur. With regards to sufficient occurrence information/data, the Agency considered whether information/ data were available to evaluate and give a generally representative idea of known and/or likely occurrence in public water systems. If sufficient information/data were available to

<sup>4</sup> Health information used for the regulatory determinations process includes but is not limited to health assessments available from the Agency's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), the Agency's Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) in a Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED), the National Academy of Sciences (NAS), and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR).

characterize adverse human health effects and known/likely occurrence in public water systems, the Agency identified the contaminant as a potential candidate for regulatory determinations. In addition to information/data for health and occurrence, EPA also considered the availability and adequacy of analytical methods (for monitoring) and treatment.

If EPA chose a contaminant as a candidate for regulatory determination, the Agency used an approach similar to the first regulatory determination process to answer the three statutory criteria (listed above, in section 1.2.1).

For the current regulatory determination process, the Agency considered the following in evaluating each of the three statutory criteria.

- (1) First statutory criterion Is the contaminant likely to cause an adverse effect on the health of persons? The Agency evaluated the best available, peer-reviewed assessments and studies to characterize the human health effects that may result from exposure to the contaminant when found in drinking water. Based on this characterization, the Agency estimated a health reference level (HRL) for each contaminant. Section 2.1 provides more detailed information about the approach used to evaluate and analyze the health information.
- (2) Second statutory criterion Is the contaminant known or likely to occur in public water systems at a frequency and level of concern? To evaluate known occurrence in PWSs, the Agency compiled, screened, and analyzed data from several occurrence data sets to develop representative occurrence estimates for public drinking water systems. EPA used the HRL estimates for each contaminant as a benchmark against which to conduct an initial evaluation or screening of the occurrence data. For each contaminant, EPA estimated the number of PWSs (and the population served by these PWSs) with detections greater than one-half the HRL (> ½ HRL) and greater than the HRL (> HRL). To evaluate the likelihood of a contaminant to occur in drinking water, the Agency considered information on use and release into the environment and supplemental information on occurrence in water (e.g. ambient water quality data, State ambient or finished water data, and/or special studies performed by other agencies, organizations, and/or entities). Section 2.2 provides more details on the approach used to analyze the occurrence information/data.
- (3) Third statutory criterion In the sole judgment of the Administrator, does regulation of the contaminant present a meaningful opportunity for health risk reduction for persons served by public water systems? EPA evaluated the potential health effects and the results of the occurrence and exposure estimates (i.e., the population exposed and the sources of exposure) at the health level of concern to determine if regulation presents a meaningful opportunity for health risk reduction. EPA has made a preliminary determination regarding the meaningful opportunity for health risk reduction for eleven contaminants based upon the population exposed to these contaminants at levels of concern.

If the answers to all three statutory criteria are affirmative for a particular contaminant, then the Agency makes a determination that a national drinking water regulation is necessary and

proceeds to develop an MCLG and an NPDWR for that contaminant. It should be noted that this regulatory determination process is independent of the more detailed analyses needed to develop an NPDWR. Thus, a decision to regulate is the beginning of the Agency regulatory development process, not the end.

If the answer to any of the three statutory criteria is negative, then the Agency makes a determination that a national drinking water regulation is not necessary for that contaminant.

#### 1.4 Summary of Preliminary Regulatory Determinations

EPA has made preliminary determinations that no regulatory actions are appropriate for the 11 contaminants evaluated for this second round of regulatory determinations. These 11 contaminants are discussed in detail in Chapters 3 through 11 in Part II of this regulatory determination support document. EPA will make final determinations on these 11 contaminants after a 60-day comment period. EPA is making preliminary regulatory determinations only on those CCL 2 contaminants that have sufficient information to support such a determination at this time. The Agency continues to conduct research and/or to collect information on the remaining CCL 2 contaminants to fill identified data gaps. Some of those contaminants are discussed in Chapters 12 through 15 in Part III of this document. The Agency is not precluded from taking action when information becomes available and will not necessarily wait until the end of the next regulatory determination cycle before making other regulatory determinations.